



A Tradition of Stewardship A Commitment to Service 1195 Third St. Suite 310 Napa, CA 94559 www.countyofnapa.org

Main: (707) 253-4386 Fax: (707) 253-4176

Brad Wagenknecht

Chair

August 20, 2018

FILED

AUG 21 2018

Clerk of the Napa Superior Court By: <u>C. Bremus</u>

The Honorable Elia Ortiz Presiding Judge Superior Court of California, County of Napa 825 Brown Street Napa, CA 94559

Dear Judge Ortiz:

Responses to the Grand Jury's Final Reports are enclosed. They include responses to the Napa County Climate Action Plan, Emergency Alerts, Farmworker Housing, Juvenile Hall, Napa County Jail, and Williamson Act. The County received final reports individually during the month of June and then received one Consolidated Final Report that staff used to prepare responses. There was a discrepancy regarding responses to recommendations between the individual report entitled "New Napa County Jail Who's Paying for All of This?" received on June 16 and the Consolidated Report. The individual report requested a response to Recommendation No. 3 but the Consolidated Report did not, therefore there is no response to that recommendation.

The Board acknowledges the members of the 2017-2018 Grand Jury for the time they have devoted in preparing their reports.

16

Brad Wagenknecht

Chair

Napa County

RECEIVED

AUG 2 1 2018

Napa Superior Court Court Executive Office

NAPA COUNTY RESPONSE TO THE GRAND JURY FINAL REPORT ON JUVENILE HALL REVIEW

August 14, 2018

Finding 1: A multi-county consolidation of juvenile detention facilities is not optimal for serving Napa County Youth.

Response, Board of Supervisors: The Board of Supervisors agrees with the finding.

Finding 2: Present and future state initiatives may increase the utilization of the NCJH facilities.

Response, Board of Supervisors: The Board of Supervisors agrees with the finding. Staff continues to monitor these efforts to ensure any increased utilization is consistent with the legal restrictions for use of a juvenile facility, enhances the rehabilitation programs for youth, and does not result in the County providing services for the state or other jurisdictions without an appropriate funding mechanism.

Finding 3: With the September 2018 implementation of CJNet in the Napa County Juvenile Hall, juvenile justice information technology will be fully integrated with the county's criminal justice system.

Response, Board of Supervisors: The Board of Supervisors agrees with the finding.

Finding 4: NCJH programming aligns with the current juvenile justice philosophy of rehabilitation as opposed to incarceration.

Response, Board of Supervisors: The Board of Supervisors agrees with the finding.

Recommendations

Recommendation 1: No later than December 31, 2018, the BOS to commission a study to determine whether excess Juvenile Hall physical capacity and staffing above near-term projected needs can be put to an alternate use. The results of the study should be published.

Response, Board of Supervisors: The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted. See Finding 2 above. The Chief Probation Officer has continued to review the best usage of the facility and staffing for the rehabilitation of youth and young adults so no further study is necessary.