



A Tradition of Stewardship
A Commitment to Service

1195 Third St. Suite 310 Napa, CA 94559 www.countyofnapa.org

Main: (707) 253-4421 Fax: (707) 253-4176

> Alfredo Pedroza Chairman

May 24, 2016

The Honorable Mark S. Boessenecker Presiding Judge Superior Court of California, County of Napa 825 Brown Street Napa, CA 94559 FILED

MAY 26 2016

Clerk of the Napa Superior Court By: Alenna

Dear Judge Boessenecker:

As required by Penal Code Section 933(c), enclosed are responses to the 2015-2016 Final Report "Facility Mnagement Will the Lights Stay On When the Power Goes Out?" and "Review of Responses to the 2014-2015 Grand Jury Reports". The Grand Jury has submitted its final report on "Gang Activity in Napa County" however, this was submitted for information only and did not require any response from the County.

Grand Jury activity takes place over the course of a number of months. The Board acknowledges the members of the 2015-2016 Grand Jury for the time they have devoted in preparing the reports.

Sincerely,

Alfredo Pedroza, Chairman Napa County Board of Supervisors

Enclosures

Cc: Foreman, 2015-2016 Grand Jury

NAPA COUNTY RESPONSE TO THE GRAND JURY FINAL REPORT ON REVIEW OF RESPONSES TO THE 2014-2015 GRAND JURY REPORTS APRIL 29, 2016

The Grand Jury requested responses from the Board of Supervisors are included below.

Finding 1. Some respondents to the 2014-2015 Grand Jury reports were tardy with their responses. These responses varied in lateness from one or two days to three weeks.

Board of Supervisor's Response: The Board disagrees with this finding. Responses to Grand Jury reports were not tardy but were in fact transmitted to the Presiding Judge early. The responses were due to the Presiding Judge on August 11, 2015 pursuant to the Penal Code and were transmitted on July 14, 2015.

Treasurer Tax Collector's Response: The Treasurer Tax Collector agrees with the response by the Board of Supervisors.

Finding 2. Some respondents to the 2014-2015 Grand Jury reports have accepted recommendations without indicating any plans or timelines for implementation or reasons for delaying actions.

Board of Supervisor's Response: The Board disagrees with this finding. Timelines for implementation were included in the responses. In one instance, a response could have been clarified to better indicate that the recommendation would be implemented immediately.

Treasurer Tax Collector's Response: The Treasurer Tax Collector agrees with the response by the Board of Supervisors.

Recommendation No. 1: In response to F2, that the Board of Supervisors and County Treasurer promulgate to all their officers and department heads specific instructions that their responses to the Grand Jury include implementation plans and timelines, or reasons for delaying action, when they accept a Grand Jury recommendation.

Board of Supervisor's Response: The Board disagrees with this finding. The Board of Supervisors, together with elected and appointed department heads, follows the Penal Code in responding to all Grand Jury report. The County Treasurer is not responsible for promulgating instructions to departments; training documents are developed by County Counsel and are distributed to all County departments.

Treasurer Tax Collector Response: It is not the responsibility of the Treasurer Tax Collector to promulgate information to officers and department heads other than required by statute as it impacts the County's investments or taxing authority. The Treasurer Tax Collector agrees with the response by the Board of Supervisors.